

UNITED STATES DES ATMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Traderik Uffice

COMMISSIONE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10191/857 SCHNEIDER 10/21/98 09/176,124 **EXAMINER** IM62/0302 TUNG, T Γ KENYON & KENYON PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK NY 10004 1743

DATE MAILED:

ise /ind below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or eeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/179719 SCHNEIDER ETAC	
	Examiner (Group Art Unit UNG 1743 Papa N
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the correspondence address
Perior for Reply	3	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	I TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 -Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defended to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory mini ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	····	•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 		
Disposition of Claims	,	
☑ Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-7		is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		
□ Claim(s)	•	·
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• • •	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	• •	• •
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•	
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	, , ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents i	have been
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents h	have been
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	of the priority documents in the priority do	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the I	of the priority documents in the priority do	Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the lateral copies not received:	of the priority documents in the priority document in the priority documents in	have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the lateral Copies not received: *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	of the priority documents in the priority do	have been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

S. Patent and Trademark Office (O-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/176,124

Art Unit: 1102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada '806 or Yamada '807.

'806 discloses (figures 7-9) a heater 16a located in a plane approximately half way between the top and the bottom of a solid electrolyte sensor. The heater is sandwiched by two insulating layers. See col. 6, lines 20-63.

'807 discloses (figures 1-3) a heater 13 located in a plane approximately half way between the top and the bottom of a solid electrolyte sensor. The heater is sandwiched by two insulating layers. See col. 3, line 23 to col. 8, line 47.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider etal in view of Yamada '806 or Yamada '807.

Application/Control Number: 09/176,124

Art Unit: 1102

Schneider discloses applicant's basis sensor structure including a heating element sandwiched by two insulating layers and surrounded by a sealing frame. See col. 2, line 29 to col. 4, line 19. Applicant's claims differ by calling for the heating element to be located in a plane centered between the top and the bottom of the sensor.

As discussed before, either Yamada discloses a heating element located in a plane half way between the top and the bottom of a sensor. It would have been obvious for Schnieder to located his heater in a centered plane as shown by either Yamada, because such a location would permit heat distribution to all portions of the sensor equally. Temperature gradient within a sensor may cause inaccurate measurement as well as cause damage due to thermal shock.

The rejection may also be construed as either Yamada in view of Schneider. It would have been obvious for either Yamada to adopt the sealing frame 26' of Schneider to hermetically seal the heater (oxidation can damage the heater) and to adopt the cover layer 10 of Schneider to provide diffusion resistance and to protect the external electrode.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The disclosure is confusing in that it is not evident if "unsintered" (claim 3, line 2) is actually intended by applicant. The green solid electrolyte bodies are usually sintered during the manufacture of a sensor. Further, the temperature to which a typical sensor is exposed during operation would tend to sinter any unsintered green body. Is applicant's sensor really unsintered?

Application/Control Number: 09/176,124

Art Unit: 1102

Also, page 3, line 25, of the specification appears to call for the sealing frame to be of electrode material. Is that accurate?

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is the final product being claimed by applicant. Claim 3, line 2, calls for a layer structure that is "unsintered". Is applicant claiming the sensor in green body form prior to completing manufacturing?

The examiner can be reached at 703-308-3329. His supervisor Jill Warden can be reached at 703-308-4037. Any general inquiry should be directed to the receptionist at 703-308-0661. A fax number for TC 1700 is 703-305-7719.

Ta Tung

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1743